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## What is Loan Portfolio Management?

Using business strategies, based on good intelligence, to offer a broad spectrum loan products to members/consumers that provide consistently positive cash-flow to the credit union.

Strategy

- Market Position
- Origination Channels
- Pricing
- Collections
- Recovery
- Loss Mitigation

Intelligence

- Acquiring Information
- Understanding Acquired Information
- Using Understanding to Implement Strategy


# Without Data, you're just another person with an opinion. 

W. Edwards Deming

Intelligence IS NOT solely an experientially-based opinion

## Why Does Experientially-Based Opinion (Intuition) Fail?

- We are inconsistent
- We remember things that didn't happen
- We are not as good as we think we are
- We won't give up on bad data
- We anchor on irrelevant or overweighted data
- We get hungry and tired


## Top 5 Cognitive Biases

- Availability Heuristic (this just happened)
- Salience Error (fear factor)
- Ostrich Effect (that can't happen)
- Outcome Bias (that's never happened)
- Confirmation Bias (that's what I thought would happen)


## Four Cases Studies in Data-Driven Lending Decisions

- What lending channels should we use?
- How can we generate more loans?
- How can we accurately predict loan performance?
- How can we price our loans competitively and still be profitable?
- How can I manage and diversify portfolio risk?
- How can I automate more of the lending process?



## Case Study \#1: We can't do Indirect Lending anymore.

## Experience Informing Decision

- Significant losses taken on loans with terms > 84 months.
- Can't compete in Indirect w/o 84-month term.
- Indirect Lending curtailed end of 2017 impacting loan growth considerably in 2018.



## 2013 Static Pool
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## 2013

|  | Average | Charge-Off <br> Balance | Annualized |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 60 to 71 Months | $\$ 1,317,849$ | $\$ 1,102$ | $1.00 \%$ |
| 72 to 83 Months | $\$ 4,208,428$ | $\$ 9,731$ | $2.77 \%$ |
| 84 to 95 Months | $\$ 2,606,817$ | $\$ 5,350$ | $2.46 \%$ |

## 2014

|  | Average <br> Balance | Charge-Off <br> Amount | Annualized <br> Loss Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 60 to 71 Months | $\$ 2,004,095$ | $\$ 3,108$ | $1.86 \%$ |
| 72 to 83 Months | $\$ 7,130,448$ | $\$ 18,233$ | $3.07 \%$ |
| 84 to 95 Months | $\$ 10,471,623$ | $\$ 23,278$ | $2.67 \%$ |

## 2015

|  | Average <br> Balance | Charge-Off <br> Amount | Annualized <br> Loss Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 60 to 71 Months | $\$ 1,273,885$ | $\$ 777$ | $0.73 \%$ |
| 72 to 83 Months | $\$ 6,436,726$ | $\$ 11,845$ | $2.21 \%$ |
| 84 to 95 Months | $\$ 12,907,965$ | $\$ 23,354$ | $2.17 \%$ |

2016

|  | Average Balance | Charge-Off <br> Amount | Annualized Loss Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 to 71 Months | \$1,258,056 | \$654 | 0.62\% |
| 72 to 83 Months | \$5,111,771 | \$8,199 | 1.92\% |
| 84 to 95 Months | \$16,952,852 | \$24,011 | 1.70\% |

## 84 Month Losses by Credit Tier

Cumulative Loss Ratio By Tier
12.00\%


## Summary of Analysis

- Credit Union Indirect loan performance was poor, especially on loans with 72 - 84-month terms.
- Poor performance, however, was predominately B, C and D borrowers.
- Credit union could have more precisely priced for risk.
- Cutting indirect for the wrong reason had a negative impact on future growth.



## Case Study \#2: Is Direct or Indirect more profitable

## Experience Informing Decision

- Internal argument of the profitability of delivery channels
- Charge-Off dollars weighted highly in the comparison
- Comparisons done were not Apples to Apples.



## Two Different Views of Net Yield

Net Yield measured on all loans during the same period

## All Originations

| All Originations |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Direct | Indirect |
| 2017 | $3.44 \%$ | $2.03 \%$ |
| 2018 | $3.69 \%$ | $2.78 \%$ |

## 2017-18 Originations Only

Net Yield measured on loans originated in a defined period of time.

| $2017-18$ | Originations Only |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Direct | Indirect |
| 2017 | $2.46 \%$ | $4.13 \%$ |
| 2018 | $2.52 \%$ | $3.11 \%$ |

## 90-100\% LTV

| Tier | Avg Balance | Annualized Income | Total Losses | Avg Annual Yield | Annualized Loss Ratio | Annualized Net Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A+ | \$988,768 | \$32,387 | \$0 | 3.28\% | 0.00\% | 3.28\% |
| A | \$1,108,626 | \$45,795 | \$0 | 4.13\% | 0.00\% | 4.13\% |
| B | \$901,230 | \$53,511 | \$8,989 | 5.94\% | 0.44\% | 5.49\% |
| C | \$280,582 | \$22,158 | \$0 | 7.90\% | 0.00\% | 7.90\% |
| D | \$40,871 | \$4,966 | \$0 | 12.15\% | 0.00\% | 12.15\% |

## Segmented Cash Flows

## 100-120\% LTV

| Tier | Avg Balance | Annualized Income | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Losses } \end{aligned}$ | Avg Annual Yield | Annualized Loss Ratio | Annualized Net Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A+ | \$1,561,829 | \$52,756 | \$569 | 3.38\% | 0.02\% | 3.36\% |
| A | \$3,993,865 | \$155,464 | \$87,430 | 3.89\% | 0.97\% | 2.92\% |
| B | \$4,626,373 | \$253,716 | \$85,883 | 5.48\% | 0.83\% | 4.66\% |
| C | \$1,943,510 | \$132,280 | \$42,390 | 6.81\% | 0.97\% | 5.84\% |
| D | \$257,193 | \$20,955 | \$8,316 | 8.15\% | 1.44\% | 6.71\% |

>120\% LTV

| Tier | Avg Balance | Annualized Income | Total Losses | Avg Annual Yield | Annualized Loss Ratio | Annualized Net Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A+ | \$1,233,052 | \$42,285 | \$43,498 | 3.43\% | 1.57\% | 1.86\% |
| A | \$2,628,751 | \$107,617 | \$73,194 | 4.09\% | 1.24\% | 2.86\% |
| B | \$2,153,677 | \$115,466 | \$42,388 | 5.36\% | 0.87\% | 4.49\% |
| C | \$451,222 | \$27,083 | \$24,329 | 6.00\% | 2.40\% | 3.61\% |
| D | \$60,591 | \$4,585 | \$0 | 7.57\% | 0.00\% | 7.57\% |



## Summary of Analysis

- Profitability can "appear" to be different, depending upon what measure and period you use to measure.
- Isolation of product attributes enables one to see key differences in performance that are not explainable by the broader product category.
- Granular analysis allows lenders to more accurately price loans.



## Case Study \#3: Granular Product Cash Flows

## Experience Informing Decision

- Some products require more effort to service and draw more attention
- High losses in one segment indicate lower profitability
- Pricing fails to adequately cover all costs



## Cash Flows by Product

| Loan Group | Avg Monthly <br> Balance | WAR | COF | Dealer <br> Fee \% | OE | Avg <br> Loss \% | Net Yield <br> Direct Auto <br> $\$ 6,691,845.72$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indirect New Auto | $\$ .29 \%$ | $0.22 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $2.10 \%$ | $0.27 \%$ | $1.70 \%$ |  |
| \$35,276,061.12 | $2.80 \%$ | $0.22 \%$ | $0.82 \%$ | $1.10 \%$ | $0.41 \%$ | $0.25 \%$ |  |
| Indirect Used Auto | $\$ 22,611,220.70$ | $3.59 \%$ | $0.22 \%$ | $0.89 \%$ | $1.10 \%$ | $0.77 \%$ | $0.61 \%$ |
| Mortgage | $\$ 55,587,281.07$ | $3.76 \%$ | $0.22 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $2.10 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $1.44 \%$ |
| Other | $\$ 61,054,848.49$ | $4.36 \%$ | $0.22 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $2.10 \%$ | $0.26 \%$ | $1.79 \%$ |
| Signature | $\$ 4,932,216.26$ | $10.91 \%$ | $0.22 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $2.10 \%$ | $2.16 \%$ | $6.43 \%$ |

## Cash Flows by Product \& Risk Tier

| Tier | Loan Group | Avg Monthly <br> Balance | WAR | COF | Dealer <br> Fee \% | OE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg } \\ \text { Loss \% } \end{gathered}$ | Est. Avg <br> Net <br> Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk Tier 1 | Direct Auto | \$2,519,017.53 | 2.98\% | 0.22\% | 0.00\% | 2.10\% | 0.00\% | 0.66\% |
| Risk Tier 1 | Indirect New Auto | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 23,121,474.4 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 2.20\% | 0.22\% | 0.76\% | 1.10\% | 0.16\% | -0.04\% |
| Risk Tier 1 | Indirect Used <br> Auto | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 11,141,725.3 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 2.32\% | 0.22\% | 0.84\% | 1.10\% | 0.15\% | 0.01\% |
| Risk Tier 1 | Signature | \$1,608,813.63 | 9.38\% | 0.22\% | 0.00\% | 2.10\% | 0.93\% | 6.12\% |


| Tier | Loan Group | Avg Monthly <br> Balance | WAR | COF | Dealer <br> Fee $\%$ | OE | Avg <br> Loss \% | Est. Avg <br> Net Yield |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Risk Tier 3 | Direct Auto | $\$ 1,489,783.02$ | $5.20 \%$ | $0.22 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $2.10 \%$ | $0.36 \%$ | $2.51 \%$ |
| Risk Tier 3 | Indirect New | Auto | $\$ 3,054,282.79$ | $5.19 \%$ | $0.22 \%$ | $0.67 \%$ | $1.10 \%$ | $1.76 \%$ |
| Risk Tier 3 | Indirect Used <br> Auto | $\$ 3,389,516.26$ | $5.44 \%$ | $0.22 \%$ | $1.03 \%$ | $1.10 \%$ | $1.39 \%$ | $1.70 \%$ |
| Risk Tier 3 | Signature | $\$ 870,855.34$ | $12.69 \%$ | $0.22 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $2.10 \%$ | $1.93 \%$ | $8.45 \%$ |

## Summary of Analysis

- Product profitability is more precisely calculated using lifetime cashflows rather than period calculations.
- Drilling-down into data can provide information as to what risk factors are more impactful to product profitability.
- Providing this level of information help supports decisions of lenders to increase or decrease risk.



## Case Study \#4: Portfolio too low-risk weighted

## Experience Informing Decision

- Unequal distribution of loan risk
- Credit Union doesn't tolerate losses well
- Yield on portfolio is too low



## Credit Scores Mean Something

## Strongest Predictor of default

|  | Origination <br> Count | Predicted 90 <br> Day Default <br> Count | Predicted 90- <br> Day Default <br> Rate | Actual 90- <br> Day Default <br> Count | Actual 90Day Default Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| < 500 | 52 | 20 | 38.46\% | 7 | 13.46\% |
| 520-539 | 17 | 5 | 29.51\% | 3 | 17.65\% |
| 540-559 | 13 | 3 | 24.39\% | 1 | 7.69\% |
| 560-579 | 27 | 6 | 22.22\% | 7 | 25.93\% |
| 580-599 | 41 | 8 | 18.87\% | 7 | 17.07\% |
| 600-619 | 79 | 12 | 15.38\% | 13 | 16.46\% |
| 620-639 | 150 | 19 | 12.35\% | 25 | 16.67\% |
| 640-659 | 330 | 33 | 9.90\% | 37 | 11.21\% |
| 660-679 | 436 | 33 | 7.58\% | 29 | 6.65\% |
| 680-699 | 510 | 28 | 5.49\% | 21 | 4.12\% |
| 700-719 | 563 | 22 | 3.85\% | 18 | 3.20\% |
| 720-739 | 523 | 13 | 2.52\% | 15 | 2.87\% |
| 740-759 | 427 | 7 | 1.63\% | 8 | 1.87\% |

## LTV is highly predictive of loss given default

|  | Origination Count | Predicted <br> 90-Day <br> Default <br> Count | Predicted 90- <br> Day Default Rate | Actual 90- <br> Day Default <br> Count | Actual 90- <br> Day Default <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 101-110\% | 557 | 4 | 0.68\% | 9 | 1.62\% |
| 111-120\% | 428 | 3 | 0.68\% | 17 | 3.97\% |
| > 120\% | 9 | 0 | 0.68\% | 2 | 22.22\% |
| Tier 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 101-110\% | 485 | 20 | 4.08\% | 25 | 5.15\% |
| 111-120\% | 177 | 7 | 4.08\% | 14 | 7.91\% |
| > 120\% | 8 | 0 | 4.08\% | 1 | 12.50\% |
| Tier 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 101-110\% | 499 | 43 | 8.60\% | 54 | 10.82\% |
| 111-120\% | 106 | 9 | 8.60\% | 9 | 8.49\% |
| > 120\% | 4 | 0 | 8.60\% | 1 | 25.00\% |
| Tier 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 101-110\% | 109 | 12 | 11.37\% | 19 | 17.43\% |
| 111-120\% | 24 | 3 | 11.37\% | 4 | 16.67\% |
| > 120\% | 1 | 0 | 11.37\% | 1 | 100.00\% |
| Tier 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 101-110\% | 62 | 9 | 14.52\% | 14 | 22.58\% |
| 111-120\% | 18 | 3 | 14.52\% | 3 | 16.67\% |
| > 120\% |  |  | 14.52\% |  |  |
| Tier 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 101-110\% | 62 | 22 | 36.20\% | 18 | 29.03\% |
| 111-120\% | 14 | 5 | 36.20\% | 4 | 28.57\% |
| > 120\% | 2 | 1 | 36.20\% | 0 | 0.00\% |

## Dynamic Loan Pricing Allows Lenders Flexibility

## Auto Loan Rates - No Filter

| Tier | rigination Amount Remaing Balances | Probability of Loss | Months to Charge-Off | Loss Given Default | Allowance for Loan Loss | Risk Premium | WA Orig Exp | Risk Free Rate | Target ROAA | Minimum Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 225,619.30 | 1.20\% | 18.00 | 5,101.57 | \$2,698 | 0.95\% | 0.00\% | 1.52\% | 1.00\% | 3.47\% |
| + $\mathrm{A}+$ | 78,903,459.20 | 1.16\% | 23.77 | 10,900.84 | \$913,392 | 0.58\% | 0.38\% | 1.52\% | 1.00\% | 3.48\% |
| + ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | 57,155,576.48 | 2.33\% | 23.23 | 10,776.41 | \$1,333,188 | 1.12\% | 0.43\% | 1.52\% | 1.00\% | 4.07\% |
| 田 B | 33,978,649.33 | 4.46\% | 22.42 | 10,994.94 | \$1,514,044 | 2.15\% | 0.49\% | 1.52\% | 1.00\% | 5.16\% |
| + C | 13,427,552.93 | 3.71\% | 19.83 | 9,664.49 | \$497,770 | 1.82\% | 0.46\% | 1.52\% | 1.00\% | 4.80\% |
| + D | 3,106,726.92 | 8.87\% | 25.56 | 9,144.13 | \$275,497 | 4.43\% | 0.25\% | 1.52\% | 1.00\% | 7.20\% |
| + E | 743,057.51 | 35.39\% | 18.24 | 7,580.56 | \$262,982 | 22.51\% | 0.06\% | 1.52\% | 1.00\% | 25.08\% |
| + Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| Total | 187,540,641.67 | 3.04\% | 22.22 | 10,227.96 | \$5,705,468 | 1.49\% | 0.40\% | 1.52\% | 1.00\% | 4.41\% |

## Dynamic Loan Pricing Allows Lenders Flexibility

Auto Loan Rates - No Filter

| Tier | rigination Amount Remaing Balances | Probability of Loss | Months to Charge-Off | Loss Given Default | Allowance for Loan Loss | Risk Premium | WA Orig Exp | Risk Free Rate | Target ROAA | Minimum Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| + A+ | 4,653,072.21 | 0.79\% | 15.50 | 9,831.43 | \$36,632 | 0.44\% | 1.29\% | 1.52\% | 1.00\% | 4.25\% |
| + A | 8,548,526.95 | 3.42\% | 19.24 | 12,478.95 | \$292,714 | 1.72\% | 1.15\% | 1.52\% | 1.00\% | 5.39\% |
| + B | 7,084,093.76 | 4.25\% | 18.76 | 12,378.31 | \$301,269 | 2.11\% | 0.99\% | 1.52\% | 1.00\% | 5.63\% |
| + C | 2,139,568.67 | 4.70\% | 16.00 | 11,761.73 | \$100,623 | 2.25\% | 0.85\% | 1.52\% | 1.00\% | 5.62\% |
| + D | 188,952.91 | 13.19\% | 19.67 | 15,912.25 | \$24,926 | 7.47\% | 0.66\% | 1.52\% | 1.00\% | 10.65\% |
| 田 E | 90,192.30 | 19.46\% | 19.67 | 5,231.28 | \$17,554 | 12.58\% | 0.76\% | 1.52\% | 1.00\% | 15.86\% |
| + Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| Total | 22,704,406.80 | 3.85\% | 18.40 | 12,055.17 | \$875,211 | 1.95\% | 1.06\% | 1.52\% | 1.00\% | 5.53\% |

## Summary of Analysis

- The credit union should be continually measuring performance against predictive values.
- It is important to understand the different between the risk of default and the risk of loss.
- It is important that the credit union is accurately pricing loans based relative risk factors, not simply pricing categories based on credit score and term.

- Provide feedback-loop to underwriters.


## Other Areas to Monitor

Key Performance Indicators

| Cashflows | Performance KPI's |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5.98 \%$ <br> WAR | \$1.10bn <br> Origination Amount | $60 \mathrm{~K}$ <br> Origination Count | $\underset{\text { Averges ingination }}{\$ 18 \mathrm{~K}}$ |
| $0.35 \%$ <br> WA COF | \$179.30M <br> Current Balance | $7944$ | $\$ 2.97 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| $0.32 \%$ <br> WA Orig Exp | $16.30 \%$ | $31.28$ | $-0.84 \%$ |
| $0.94 \%$ <br> WA Loss | $\$ 21 \mathrm{M}$ <br> Net Charge-Off Amount | $\begin{gathered} 2789 \\ \text { Charge-off Count } \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 7,582$ <br> Average Charge-Off |
| 4.36\% <br> WA Net Income R | $\$ 12.72 \mathrm{~K}$ <br> WA Monthly Balance | $\begin{gathered} 76.50 \\ \text { Weighted Avergge Temm } \end{gathered}$ | 65.57\% <br> Avg Loss Severity |
|  |  | Charge-Off Ratio <br> 0.50\% | 300\% |

## Dealer Management

| Dealer | Origination Amount | Orig <br> WAR | Weighted Average Term | WA <br> Credit <br> Score | WA of LTV (36 months) | Delinquency Ratio | Net ChargeOff Amount | Cumulative Loss Ratio Agg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rogers Ford (460154) | \$27,428 | 7.00\% | 72.00 | 657 | 110.00\% | NaN | \$14,578 | 53.15\% |
| Amerian Ford (460401) | \$69,710 | 5.06\% | 78.79 | 680 | 109.72\% | NaN | \$16,250 | 23.31\% |
| Beacon Motors (460250) | \$242,042 | 5.51\% | 65.87 | 707 | 96.13\% | 0.00\% | \$14,743 | 6.09\% |
| SouthBridge Chevrolet (462111) | \$238,609 | 5.59\% | 82.45 | 760 | 109.48\% | 0.00\% | \$11,822 | 4.95\% |
| Private Auto Sales (460226) | \$1,290,084 | 7.60\% | 67.31 | 615 | 98.44\% | 0.00\% | \$57,806 | 4.48\% |
| Morgan Land Rover (461041) | \$225,352 | 4.45\% | 68.00 | 749 | 75.62\% | 0.00\% | \$9,799 | 4.35\% |
| Brent's Car Corner (460204) | \$105,298 | 6.52\% | 65.60 | 688 | 110.42\% | 0.00\% | \$3,921 | 3.72\% |
| Banford Kia (461159) | \$286,034 | 6.31\% | 77.07 | 688 | 109.87\% | 0.00\% | \$8,055 | 2.82\% |
| Uncle Sam's Dodge (460326) | \$800,004 | 4.61\% | 81.48 | 719 | 103.73\% | 0.00\% | \$16,119 | 2.01\% |
| Ryan's Auto Sales (461140) | \$1,802,132 | 4.02\% | 81.01 | 754 | 83.94\% | 0.00\% | \$30,509 | 1.69\% |
| Stanford Chrysler Jeep Dodge (461028) | \$258,664 | 6.12\% | 72.77 | 685 | 87.07\% | 0.00\% | \$3,490 | 1.35\% |
| Jimmy Dean Buick (462066) | \$683,059 | 3.89\% | 79.46 | 764 | 107.98\% | 0.00\% | \$8,711 | 1.28\% |
| Watson Auto Group (460036) | \$472,422 | 4.41\% | 72.44 | 728 | 89.61\% | 12.73\% | \$5,431 | 1.15\% |
| Steven's Ford (461118) | \$2,250,573 | 4.08\% | 76.81 | 753 | 77.82\% | 0.00\% | \$23,677 | 1.05\% |
| Private Auto Sales (461109) | \$330,394 | 6.79\% | 51.62 | 707 | 78.15\% | 0.00\% | \$2,671 | 0.81\% |
| RV Center, Inc (461138) | \$1,044,428 | 5.52\% | 80.18 | 707 | 95.03\% | 0.00\% | \$7,618 | 0.73\% |
| Beacon Motors (461133) | \$11,509,190 | 4.42\% | 78.81 | 734 | 82.82\% | 0.46\% | \$49,327 | 0.43\% |
| Hunter Ford (461023) | \$5,320,040 | 4.39\% | 81.09 | 736 | 77.70\% | 0.70\% | \$7,412 | 0.14\% |
| John Calhoun Ford (460317) | \$6,063,821 | 3.87\% | 77.68 | 760 | 63.89\% | 0.00\% | \$7,584 | 0.13\% |
| City Ford (461094) | \$161,123 | 5.31\% | 76.90 | 722 | 99.14\% | 0.00\% | \$161 | 0.10\% |
| Green Mountain Mitsubishi (461093) | \$21,667,132 | 3.85\% | 76.10 | 753 | 81.06\% | 0.00\% | \$12,197 | 0.06\% |
| Amerian Ford (462084) | \$21,810 | 8.60\% | 72.00 | 684 | 124.00\% | 0.00\% | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| Avina Ford/Lincoln (460042) | \$22,651 | 6.94\% | 72.00 | 716 | 115.00\% | 0.00\% | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| Avina Ford/Lincoin (461013) | \$108,171 | 3.93\% | 77.00 | 753 | 91.60\% | 0.00\% | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| Avina Ford/Lincoin (462110) | \$441,796 | 4.20\% | 82.80 | 729 | 90.90\% | 0.00\% | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| Banford Auto Group (461087) | \$92,469 | 5.65\% | 84.00 | 694 | 109.01\% | 0.00\% | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| Banford Chrysler Dodge Jeep Ram (462059) | \$513,495 | 5.22\% | 82.41 | 706 | 108.66\% | 0.00\% | \$0 | 0.00\% |

## Application Decisions

System vs. Manual Approval


Application Count Funded Application \%
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